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A substantial reduction in hospitalization rates has been associated with the implementation of the Interventions to Reduce Acute Care Transfers (INTERACT) quality improvement intervention using the accompanying paper-based clinical practice tools (INTERACT II). There is significant potential to further increase the impact of INTERACT by integrating INTERACT II tools into nursing home (NH) health information technology (HIT) via standalone or integrated clinical decision support (CDS) systems. This article highlights the process of translating INTERACT II tools from paper to NH HIT. The authors believe that widespread dissemination and integration of INTERACT II CDS tools into various NH HIT products could lead to sustainable improvement in resident and clinician process and outcome measures, including enhanced interclinician communication and a reduction in potentially avoidable hospitalizations. (Annals of Long-Term Care: Clinical Care and Aging. 2011;19[11]:23-26.)

Hospitlizations and rehospitalizations for ambulatory-sensitive conditions (ie, conditions that can often be managed in a nonacute setting) among nursing home (NH) residents are common and costly, and can result in numerous iatrogenic complications. Many of these hospitalizations are potentially avoidable. Interventions to Reduce Acute Care Transfers (INTERACT) is an example of a quality improvement intervention designed to facilitate the identification, evaluation, documentation, and communication about changes in resident status and support clinical decision-making. This is accomplished by collecting information about baseline care plan goals and condition-specific medical information when a change in status occurs. A set of clinical practice tools (ie, INTERACT II), including care paths and a variety of related educational materials, have been developed for dehydration, fever, mental status changes, congestive heart failure, lower respiratory infections, and urinary tract infections; these are six of the most common medical conditions that cause potentially avoidable hospitalizations. The INTERACT II care paths and other tools incorporate information from various sources, including best practices, clinical practice guidelines, and input from frontline NH providers and national experts in long-term care. An overview of the INTERACT intervention and downloadable tools are available at http://interact2.net.

Paper-based INTERACT II tools have been pilot tested in three NHs with high hospitalization rates in Georgia, and refined and evaluated in a quality improvement project completed by 25 NHs in Florida, New York, and Massachusetts. Implementation of the INTERACT quality improvement intervention was associated with a substantial reduction in hospitalization rates in both projects. Although use of the INTERACT II paper-based tools was successful, there is significant promise to further increase their potential impact. This can be accomplished by developing, implementing, and using INTERACT II tools through health information technology (HIT), such as standalone or integrated clinical decision support systems (CDS). This article highlights the process of translating INTERACT II tools from paper to NH HIT by addressing the following: (1) why these tools should be incorporated into HIT; (2) which currently available tools lend themselves to integration into HIT systems as CDS tools; (3) which design and implementation lessons from research and industry experience should be taken into account when integrating these CDS tools; and (4) how administration and providers can implement these CDS tools.

Why Incorporate INTERACT II CDS Tools into NH HIT?

Some of the reported challenges associated with using paper-based INTERACT II tools may be improved when these tools are incorporated into NH HIT. Use of an electronic format will enable staff to spend less time updating static data, provide greater access to automated information, reduce the time needed to track down information from disparate sources, minimize the time spent on performing manual calculations, and keep tasks on track through reminders or prompts noting when specific actions should be taken.
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Automating a core set of INTERACT II tools as a CDS system integrated into NH HIT will likely result in a higher likelihood of sustainable improvement in resident and/or clinician process or outcome measures, which may include:

• Improved communication among members of the multidisciplinary team, increasing the likelihood of identifying high-risk residents and improving clinical decision-making.
• Improved resident, staff, and physician satisfaction, resulting from enhanced communication.
• Decreased number of potentially avoidable emergency department evaluations and/or hospitalizations of NH residents with acute changes in condition or ambulatory-sensitive conditions.
• Reduced costs from a societal perspective if unplanned transfers can be avoided or better care is provided in the acute care setting because of enhanced communication.

Integration of INTERACT II CDS tools into various NH HIT products could lead to sustainable improvement in resident and clinician process and outcome measures.

INTERACT II Tools That Lend Themselves to NH HIT Integration

Based on previous experience and feedback from sites participating in INTERACT projects, five CDS tools should be considered for integration into NH HIT:

1. Stop and Watch: This tool can be used by certified nurse assistants (CNAs) to note observed acute changes in resident condition and to document these changes. It also provides guidance on reporting these changes to a nurse or nurse practitioner for further evaluation and management.

2. Care Paths: These tools enable nursing staff to assess residents who have common conditions that may result in a potential transfer to a hospital and notify the appropriate primary care provider regarding a resident's condition.

3. Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation (SBAR): This structured communication framework and progress note enables nursing staff to document and facilitate communication with primary care providers about their assessments based on the Care Paths and other tools.

4. Resident Transfer Form: This form is to be completed by nursing staff to ensure that a standardized set of resident-specific data accompany all transfers to the emergency department.

5. Quality Improvement Review: This tool is used to examine transfer situations and provide opportunities to discuss interventions that may have resulted in a different outcome.

Lessons Learned From Others' CDS Design and Implementation

Several key experiences from previous efforts to design and implement CDS tools provide guidelines to automate existing paper tools, offering insights into the design process, quality and timeliness of information, ease of use, presentation of information, and integration into clinical workflow. Lessons from these experiences are outlined in detail in the Table. Before proceeding to integrate INTERACT II tools into NH HIT systems, these lessons should be carefully considered to ensure success.

Roadmap for Integrating INTERACT II Paper Tools Into NH HIT

Several major design steps are required when translating paper-based INTERACT II tools for use in HIT. These steps include establishing goals of the CDS design phase, assembling an integrated team, confirming data elements, translating clinical decision tools from paper to HIT, integrating CDS into the workflow, and pilot testing the tools before implementation.

Establishing CDS Design Phase Goals

The result of the design phase will be software requirements for HIT software developers. The software requirements specification document will describe the seamless integration of best practice guidelines into caregiver day-to-day workflow, communications, and documentation. It will also specify requirements of CDS. The goals of integrating INTERACT II CDS tools into NH HIT software include:

• Facilitating earlier identification of residents at risk for hospitalization or rehospitalization.

• Ensuring timelier follow-up on recommended care path interventions for residents identified as being at risk for acute care transfer.

• Delivering workflow efficiencies by autogenerating forms and communication tools profiled with previously recorded resident information, such as resident name, identification, diagnosis codes, allergies, vital signs, and medications.

• Supporting quality improvement efforts by providing summarized information in the form of reports to understand root cause patterns and trends.

Assembling an Integrated Team

The team responsible for the design process includes a facilitator, frontline staff, and software developer. The facilitator serves as a liaison between frontline staff and the software developer. He or she also works with clinicians to translate paper tools into software development requirements. Clinical staff members are
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Table. Key Experiences From Previous Efforts to Design and Implement Clinical Decision Support (CDS) Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Lesson Learned</th>
<th>Notes and Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Process</td>
<td>Translating CDS tools from paper to HIT should be driven by clinician end-users.</td>
<td>Successful examples of CDS often used rapid prototyping to obtain iterative feedback from clinician end-users, incorporate the feedback, and continue to collect data from clinician end-users on workflow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality and Timeliness of Information</td>
<td>Plan to ensure quality and timeliness of information.</td>
<td>The quality and timeliness of the information and the evidence underlying it are the major determinants of effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td>Ease of use means no additional effort for the clinician beyond status quo.</td>
<td>Usefulness of automation and ease of use of CDS tools are both important determinants of clinician end-user acceptance. If automation requires effort above the status quo (i.e., it is not easy to use), it likely won’t be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of information</td>
<td>Involve actual clinician end-users in the design and trial of how the information is presented to ensure integration into clinical workflow and actual support of clinical decision-making in practice.</td>
<td>Consider the following “rules:” • CDS interventions that are presented automatically and fit into the workflow of the clinicians are more likely to be used. • CDS tools that recommend actions for the user to take are more effective than CDS tools that only provide assessments. • CDS interventions that provide information at the time and place of decision-making are more likely to have an impact. • The “five rights” of CDS tools include: the provision of the right information, to the right stakeholders, in the right format, through the right channel or medium, and at the right point in the workflow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrating into clinical workflow</td>
<td>Integrating CDS tools into work processes is critical and most likely more difficult than expected.</td>
<td>The main challenge for CDS systems is integration into the wider workflow. Successful technology integration into clinical work settings requires explicit attention to the organizational context and how the new technologies will be implemented into specific work settings and integrated with user needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes and Considerations

Successful examples of CDS often used rapid prototyping to obtain iterative feedback from clinician end-users, incorporate the feedback, and continue to collect data from clinician end-users on workflow.

The quality and timeliness of the information and the evidence underlying it are the major determinants of effectiveness.

Usefulness of automation and ease of use of CDS tools are both important determinants of clinician end-user acceptance. If automation requires effort above the status quo (i.e., it is not easy to use), it likely won’t be used.

Consider the following “rules:”

- CDS interventions that are presented automatically and fit into the workflow of the clinicians are more likely to be used.
- CDS tools that recommend actions for the user to take are more effective than CDS tools that only provide assessments.
- CDS interventions that provide information at the time and place of decision-making are more likely to have an impact.
- The “five rights” of CDS tools include: the provision of the right information, to the right stakeholders, in the right format, through the right channel or medium, and at the right point in the workflow.

The main challenge for CDS systems is integration into the wider workflow. Successful technology integration into clinical work settings requires explicit attention to the organizational context and how the new technologies will be implemented into specific work settings and integrated with user needs.

Confirming Data Elements

In this step, paper tools to be automated are analyzed at the data-element level to gain understanding of each element’s use and to compare each element against same or similar elements already available in the facility’s system. Redundancies and inconsistencies are highlighted during this process. The goal is to minimize additional documentation burden and to leverage existing documentation to the extent possible.

Translating CDS Tools From Paper to NH HIT

After the data elements from paper tools are defined and confirmed, the next step is to translate the CDS tools from paper to NH HIT for use in actual practice. This includes developing the content, formatting the information, and establishing the algorithms or rules that will produce the alert, reminder, or report.

Integrating CDS into Workflow

The final step in the design stage is to confirm how each CDS tool will be integrated into the daily workflow of the clinician end-user. Processes should be outlined to show who will be using CDS tools and describe how often they will be used. Use cases (defined as a description of steps or actions between a clinician and a software system that leads the user towards something useful) are helpful to describe the process to the system’s clinician end-users to ensure feasibility and integration into workflow.

Pilot Testing and Implementation

Once the design is completed, the requirements have been integrated into NH HIT, and an implementation plan has been established, it is helpful for the facility to conduct a pilot test on at least one nursing unit. This is done to confirm usability by clinicians in the real-world setting and identify changes to design or workflow that may be required before facility-wide implementation is undertaken. Establishing a full implementation rollout plan requires designating resources for training and information technology support, changing management plans to handle anticipated and unanticipated barriers to implementation, providing feedback mechanisms for ongoing refinement and management, and establishing a process to monitor impact.

Conclusion

The INTERACT quality improvement intervention and related paper-based tools have demonstrated the potential to enhance the detection, management, and communication of acute change in condition among NH residents, and to reduce the incidence of potentially avoidable hospitalizations. Developing INTERACT II CDS tools in an interoperable for-
mat that would enable widespread dissemination and integration into various NH HIT products could lead to sustainable improvement in resident and clinician process and outcome measures, including a reduction in unplanned transfers and potentially avoidable hospital admissions. Possible next steps include the development of HIT specifications for INTERACT II CDS tools, embedding and testing the CDS into various NH HIT products, and formally evaluating the impact of the CDS on various resident and clinician process and outcome measures.
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